

COMMUNITIES OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY PANEL

TUESDAY, 9TH NOVEMBER, 2021

At 7.00 pm

by

VIRTUAL MEETING - ONLINE ACCESS, ON [RBWM YOUTUBE](#)

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
8.	<u>WORK PROGRAMME</u> To consider the Scoping Document for the Community Facility Review.	3 - 6

This page is intentionally left blank

Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

Overview and Scrutiny Panels

Scrutiny Review – Scoping and Planning Document

Title of the Review	Community Facilities Review
Panel Name	Communities O&S Panel
Panel Members	Cllrs Bowden, G Jones, Bhangra, Del Campo, Price
Support Officer(s)	Shilpa Manek and David Cook
Lead Member(s)/Officer(s) Identify a nominated: - Elected Member - Lead Officer	Cllr Bowden – O&S Chairman Duncan Sharkey – Managing Director Ian Brazier-Dubber – RBWM Property Company
Relevant Cabinet Member	Cllr Jonson - Leader of the Council and Chairman of Cabinet, Business, Economic Development and Property
Purpose of the Review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specify exactly which Outcome(s) the review is examining? Also being clear what the review is not looking at What is the Scrutiny Review seeking to achieve? Where possible refer to VFM issues of service cost, service performance and/or customer satisfaction. 	Cabinet has requested O&S views on the options for community Facilities located on Holmanleaze so they may make an informed decision.
Criteria for Selection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why has this particular topic been considered to be a priority issue for scrutiny? 	Cabinet are due to make a decision on the future of facilities and land on Holmanleaze, Maidenhead. There is a lot of Member and public interest in the site and its leaseholders. The review has the potential to add value to community facilities, affordable housing and the Council's property portfolio.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which of the criteria promoted by the Centre for Governance and Scrutiny does it satisfy? 	<p>The review would be undertaken within current resources.</p>
<p>Terms of Reference</p>	<p>To review the options due to be considered by Cabinet on the future of Holmanlease and the lease holding community facilities.</p> <p>To consider the views of the leaseholders, the public and Members.</p>
<p>What are the anticipated outcomes of the review?</p> <p>Key Lines of Enquiry</p> <p>Sources of Information/Evidence</p> <p>What factors / outcomes will demonstrate that this Scrutiny Review has been a success?</p>	<p>Cabinet report and available options.</p> <p>Lead Member views.</p> <p>Views of current leaseholders.</p>
<p>Resource & budget requirements;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • research 	<p>No additional resources required.</p>
<p>Corporate Risks associated with this Review?</p> <p>Identify any weaknesses and barriers to success</p>	<p>There are no corporate risks for this review.</p>
<p>Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?</p>	<p>Cabinet</p>
<p>What is the Review Timescale? • Identify key meeting dates and any deadlines for reports, recommendations or decisions.</p>	<p><i>Communities O&S Panel date TBC.</i></p>
<p>How could a review be publicised?</p> <p>Do we need to publicise the review to encourage community involvement? • What sort of media coverage do we want? (e.g.</p>	<p>Coms to publicise in normal ways through social media channels, lead officer to contact leaseholders.</p>

Flyers, leaflets, radio broadcast, press release, etc.)	
Completed by/ Date:	
Approved by Scrutiny Panel / Date:	<i>Communities O&S Panel - TBC</i>

This page is intentionally left blank